<u>REMARKS</u>

Reconsideration is requested.

Claim 253 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by U.S.

Patent No. 5,121,407 to Partyka and under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being

unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,121,407 to Partyka in view of U.S. Patent

No. 5,576,647 to Sutardja.

Claim 253 has been amended to add first and second charge pump using

language similar to language contained in claim 6 which was allowed in the

parent application, now U.S. Patent No. 6,466,634. Claim 253, as amended,

recites a phase locked loop including a voltage controlled oscillator configured to

multiply the frequency of the digital clock signal by a predetermined multiple and

control circuitry to maintain a desired frequency, the phase locked loop having

an output providing a transmitter carrier, the control circuitry including a first

charge pump coupled to a start-up circuit and configured to pump a frequency of

the voltage controlled oscillator in response to a start-up command from the

start-up circuit, and a second charge pump and configured to selectively pump

up or down the frequency of the voltage controlled oscillator in steps smaller

than the steps of the first charge pump.

The Partyka et al. reference fails to teach or suggest a first charge pump

coupled to a start-up circuit and configured to pump a frequency of the voltage

controlled oscillator in response to a start-up command from the start-up circuit,

S:\mi40\325\M04.doc

21

Appl. No. 09/822,063

Reply to Office Action of August 26, 2004

Atty. Dkt. MI40-325

and a second charge pump and configured to selectively pump up or down the

frequency of the voltage controlled oscillator in steps smaller than the steps of

the first charge pump, in combination with the other features of claim 253.

The Sutardja et al. reference fails to cure the deficiency of Partyka

et al.

Therefore, claim 253 is allowable.

As claims 254-259 depend on claim 253, they too are allowable.

Claims 260-284 have been cancelled to reduce the number of issues

under consideration.

New claims have been added that are method claims that are roughly

parallel to the apparatus claims allowed in the parent application, now U.S.

Patent No. 6,466,634, and are believed to be allowable for the same reasons.

In view of the foregoing, allowance of claims 253-259 and 285-314 is

requested. The Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned in the event

that the next Office Action is one other that a Notice of Allowance. The

undersigned is available for telephone consultation at any time.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Sept 20, 2000

Deepak Malhotra

Reg. No. 33,560

S:\mi40\325\M04.doc

22